§ 270.5

the child care measure on each component of the overall measure and award bonuses to the ten States with the highest composite rankings.

- (8) We will calculate each component score for this measure to two decimal points. If two or more States have the same score for a component, we will calculate the scores for these States to as many decimal points as necessary to eliminate the tie.
- (9)(i) The rank of the measure for the FY 2002 bonus year will be a composite weighted score of the two components at paragraph (e)(1) of this section, with the component at paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section having a weight of 6 and the component at paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section having a weight of 4.
- (ii) The rank of the measure for the bonus beginning in FY 2003 will be a composite weighted score of the three components at paragraph (e)(2) of this section, with the component at paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section having a weight of 5, the component at paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section having a weight of 3, and the component at paragraph (e)(2)(ii) of this section having a weight of 2.
- (10) We will award bonuses only to the top ten qualifying States that have fully obligated their CCDF Matching Funds for the fiscal year corresponding to the performance year and fully expended their CCDF Matching Funds for the fiscal year preceding the performance year.
- (f) Family formation and stability measure. (1) Beginning in FY 2002 and beyond, we will measure the increase in the percent of children in each State who reside in married couple families, beginning with a comparison of CY 2000 and CY 2001 data from the Census Bureau. For any given subsequent year we will compare a State's performance on this measure to its performance in the previous year.
- (2) We will rank the performance of those States that choose to compete on this measure and will award bonuses to the ten States with the greatest percentage point improvement in this measure.
- (3) We will calculate the percentage rate for the measure to two decimal points. If two or more States have the same percentage rate for this measure,

we will calculate the rates for these States to as many decimal points as necessary to eliminate the tie.

- (g) Option to compete. Each State has the option to compete on one, any number of, or none of the measures specified in this section.
- [65 FR 52851, Aug. 30, 2000, as amended at 65 FR 75634, Dec. 4, 2000; 66 FR 23859, May 10, 2001]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 66 FR 23859, May 10, 2001, in § 270.4, paragraph (e)(2)(ii) was revised. The amendment contains information collection and recordkeeping requirements and will not become effective until approval has been given by the Office of Management and Budget.

§ 270.5 What factors will we use to determine a State's score on the work measures?

- (a) *Definitions*. The work measures are defined as follows:
- (1) The Job Entry Rate means the unduplicated number of adult recipients who entered employment for the first time in the performance year (job entries) as a percentage of the total unduplicated number of adult recipients unemployed at some point in the performance year.
- (2) The Success in the Work Force Rate is composed of two equally weighted sub-measures defined as follows:
- (i) The Job Retention Rate means the performance year sum of unduplicated number of employed adult recipients in each quarter one through four who were also employed in the first and second subsequent quarters, as a percentage of the sum of the unduplicated number of employed adult recipients in each quarter. (At some point, the adult might become a former recipient.); and
- (ii) The Earnings Gain Rate means the performance year sum of the gain in earnings between the initial and second subsequent quarter in each of quarters one through four for adult recipients employed in both these quarters as a percentage of the sum of their initial earnings in each of quarters one through four. (At some point, the adult might become a former recipient.)
- (3) The *Increase in the Job Entry Rate* means the positive percentage point difference between the job entry rate

for the performance year and the job entry rate for the comparison year; and

- (4) The Increase in Success in the Work Force Rate means the positive percentage point difference on at least one sub-measure between the success in the work force rate for the performance year and the success in the work force rate for the comparison year. It is composed of two equally weighted sub-measures defined as follows:
- (i) The Increase in the Job Retention Rate means the percentage point difference between the job retention rate for the performance year and the job retention rate for the comparison year; and
- (ii) The *Increase in the Earning Gain Rate* means the percentage point difference between the earnings gain rate for the performance year and the earnings gain rate for the comparison year.
- (b) Ranking of States. (1) We will measure State performance in the work measures over the course of an entire fiscal year both for the performance year and the comparison year, if applicable.
- (2) We will rank the competing States on the work measures for which they:
- (i) Indicate they wish to compete; and
- (ii) Submit the data specified in §270.6 within the time frames specified in §270.11.
- (3) We will rank the States on absolute performance in each of the work measures in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this section. For each of the work measures in paragraphs (a)(3) and (a)(4) of this section, we will rank States based on the percentage point change in their improvement rate in the performance year compared to the comparison year. The rank of the performance in paragraphs (a)(2) and (a)(4) of this section will be a composite score of the rank of the job retention and the earnings gain measures.
- (4) We will calculate the percentage rate for each work measure to two decimal points. If two or more States have the same absolute or improvement rate for a specific work measure, we will calculate the rates for these States to as many decimal points as necessary to eliminate the tie.

§ 270.6 What data and other information must a State report to us?

- (a) Data for work measures. (1) If a State wishes to compete on any of the work measures specified in §270.5(a), it must collect quarterly and report semi-annually for the performance year and, if the State chooses to compete on an improvement measure, the comparison year, the identifying information on all adult TANF recipients as specified in program guidance.
- (2) Each State must submit the information in this paragraph for both adult TANF recipients and adult SSP-MOE recipients for whom the State would report the data described in paragraph (b) of this section.
- (b) Data on SSP-MOE programs. In order to compete on any high performance bonus measure, each State must submit the information in Sections One and Three of the SSP-MOE Data Report as specified in §265.3(d) of this chapter.
- (c) Data for the Medicaid/SCHIP measures. If a State wishes to compete on the Medicaid/SCHIP measures in §270.4(d), it must submit the information that we and CMS will specify.
- (d) Data for the child care measure. If a State wishes to compete on the child care measure in §270.4(e), it must report the data as required by the CCDF program and additional data on child care market rates that we will specify.
- (e) *Intent to compete*. Each State must notify us on which of the measures it will compete in each bonus year.

§ 270.7 What data will we use to measure performance on the work support and other measures?

- (a) We will use Census Bureau data to rank States on their performance on the Food Stamp measures in §270.4(c) and on the measure of family formation and stability in §270.4(f). We will also use Census Bureau data, along with other information, to rank States on the child care measure in §270.4(e). We will rank only those States that choose to compete on these measures.
- (b) We will rank State performance on the Medicaid/SCHIP measures in §270.4(d) based on data submitted by those States that choose to compete on these measures, as determined by matching TANF individuals who were